Math 525: Lecture 23

April 10, 2018

1 Joint distribution

In previous lectures, we have dealt with only one-dimensional distribution functions (i.e., $F(x) = \mathbb{P}\{X \le x\}$). In this lecture, we extend our findings to higher dimensions.

Definition 1.1. The *joint distribution* of two random variables X and Y (defined on the same probability space) is the function $F : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ defined by

$$F(x, y) = \mathbb{P}\left\{X \le x, Y \le y\right\}.$$

If we wish to be explicit, we may write F_{XY} .

Remark 1.2. Similarly, we can define $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \mathbb{P}\{X_1 \leq x_1, \ldots, X_n \leq x_n\}$ as the joint distribution of n random variables. Since this extension is trivial, we work solely with the two dimensional case for ease of notation.

Recall that distribution functions satisfy some distinctive properties. Let's now extend those to joint distribution functions.

Proposition 1.3. Let y be arbitrary. Then,

- 1. $x \mapsto F(x, y)$ is nondecreasing.
- 2. $x \mapsto F(x, y)$ is right-continuous.
- 3. $\lim_{x \to -\infty} F(x, y) = 0.$
- 4. $\lim_{x \to \infty} F(x, y) = \mathbb{P}\{Y \le y\}.$

Proof. Let $B^y = \{Y \le y\}$ and for each x, let $A_x = \{X \le x\}$.

- 1. Since $A_{x_1} \subset A_{x_2}$ whenever $x_1 \leq x_2$, then $A_{x_1} \cap B^y \subset A_{x_2} \cap B^y$ and hence $F(x_1, y) = \mathbb{P}(A_{x_1} \cap B^y) \leq \mathbb{P}(A_{x_2} \cap B^y) = F(x_2, y)$.
- 2. Let x be arbitrary and $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence with $x_n \downarrow x$. Then,

$$A_{x_1} \cap B^y \supset A_{x_2} \cap B^y \supset \cdots$$

By continuity of measure,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(x_n, y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(A_{x_n} \cap B^y) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n \ge 1} (A_{x_n} \cap B^y)\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{P}\left(\left(\bigcap_{n \ge 1} A_{x_n}\right) \cap B^y\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(A_x \cap B^y\right) = F(x, y).$$

3. Note that $A_{-1} \supset A_{-2} \supset \cdots$ and hence by continuity of measure,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(-n, y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(A_{-n} \cap B^y) = \mathbb{P}(\emptyset) = 0.$$

4. Note that $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots$ and hence by continuity of measure,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(n, y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n \cap B^y) = \mathbb{P}(B^y).$$

Note that the last point tells us that we can retrieve the distribution function of Y by taking limits in x. That is, $F(\infty, y) \equiv \lim_{x\to\infty} F(x, y) = F_Y(y)$, where $F_Y(y) = \mathbb{P}\{Y \leq y\}$ defines the distribution function of Y. We call $F(\infty, y)$ the marginal distribution of Y. The act of taking limits in x is called marginalization (i.e., "summing out" the variable you are not interested in).

Corollary 1.4. Let F_Y be the distribution function of Y. If $F_Y \neq 0$, then, $x \mapsto F(x, y)/F_Y(y)$ is a distribution function.

Indeed, we can go a little further in our claim:

$$\frac{F(x,y)}{F_Y(y)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{X \le x, Y \le y\right\}}{\mathbb{P}\left\{Y \le y\right\}} = \mathbb{P}(X \le x \mid Y \le y).$$

Proof. We proved that $x \mapsto F(x, y)/F_Y(y)$ is nondecreasing, right-continuous, its limit as $x \to -\infty$ is zero and its limit as $x \to \infty$ is

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{F(x,y)}{F_Y(y)} = \frac{F_Y(y)}{F_Y(y)} = 1.$$

Using the same ideas as above (involving continuity of measure) we can prove a few more facts about joint distribution functions. We state them without proof.

Proposition 1.5.

- 1. $(x, y) \mapsto F(x, y)$ is nondecreasing with respect to the element-wise partial order (i.e., $F(x_1, y_1) \leq F(x_2, y_2)$ whenever $x_1 \leq x_2$ and $y_1 \leq y_2$).
- 2. $\lim_{x,y\to\infty} F(x,y) = 1.$

As usual, we can define $F(x-, y) = \lim_{t\uparrow x} F(t, y)$, $F(x, y-) = \lim_{s\uparrow y} F(x, s)$, and $F(x-, y-) = \lim_{t\uparrow x, s\uparrow y} F(t, s)$. We use the notation $x \uparrow y$ to mean that x converges to y strictly from below (i.e., x < y and $x \to y$).¹

Proposition 1.6. Let $x_1 < x_2$ and $y_1 < y_2$. Then,

1.
$$\mathbb{P}\{X \le x_2, Y < y_2\} = F(x_2, y_2-).$$

2. $\mathbb{P}\{X < x_2, Y \le y_2\} = F(x_2-, y_2).$
3. $\mathbb{P}\{X < x_2, Y < y_2\} = F(x_2-, y_2-).$
4. $\mathbb{P}\{x_1 < X \le x_2, y_1 < Y \le y_2\} = F(x_2, y_2) - F(x_1, y_2) - F(x_2, y_1) + F(x_1, y_1).$
5. $\mathbb{P}\{X = x_1, Y = y_1\} = F(x_1, y_1) - F(x_1-, y_1) - F(x_1, y_1-) + F(x_1-, y_1-).$

Definition 1.7. If X and Y are discrete random variables, their *joint probability mass* function $p : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ (written p_{XY} if we wish to be explicit) is defined by

$$p_{XY}(x,y) = \mathbb{P}\left\{X = x, Y = y\right\}.$$

In this case, the corresponding joint distribution function is given by

$$F_{XY}(x,y) = \sum_{u \le x, v \le y} p_{XY}(u,v).$$

Note that this sum is well defined since even though the set $A = \{(u, v) : u \leq x, v \leq y\}$ is uncountable, there are only countably many elements $(u, v) \in A$ such that $p_{XY}(u, v)$ is nonzero.

2 Absolutely continuous distributions

Definition 2.1. We say F is absolutely continuous if it can be written

$$F(x,y) = \int_{-\infty}^{y} \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(u,v) du dv$$

for some integrable function f. We call f the joint density of X and Y (sometimes written f_{XY} to be explicit).

From the definition,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{x_1 < X \le x_2, y_1 < Y \le y_2\right\} = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \int_{y_1}^{y_2} f(x, y) dx dy.$$

More generally, for any Borel measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\mathbb{P}((X,Y) \in A) = \int \int_{A} f(x,y) dx dy.$$

¹Walsh uses the symbol $\uparrow\uparrow$ for this.

Moreover, by the fundamental theorem of calculus, if f is continuous, then

$$f(x,y) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} F(x,y).$$

As with marginal distributions, we can also define *marginal densities*:

Proposition 2.2. Suppose X and Y have a joint density f_{XY} . Then, Y has a density f_Y satisfying

$$f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{XY}(x, y) dx.$$

Proof. We obtain the desired result by showing that F_Y is absolutely continuous with integrand f_Y :

$$F_Y(y) = \mathbb{P}\left\{-\infty < X < \infty, Y \le y\right\} = \int_{-\infty}^y \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_{XY}(u, v) du dv = \int_{-\infty}^y f_Y(v) dv. \qquad \Box$$

The above shows that if X and Y have a joint density, then Y (and also X) also has a density. The converse is not true in general:

Example 2.3. Let $X \sim U[0,1]$ and Y = X (X and Y are <u>not</u> independent). Of course, both of these variables have the density $f_X = f_Y = 1$. If they had a joint density f_{XY} , its probability mass would have to be concentrated on the diagonal $\{(x,y): x = y\}$. However, the area of this diagonal is zero, and hence the integral $\int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_{XY}(x,y) dxdy$ would also be zero, a contradiction.

Proposition 2.4. Suppose X and Y have a continuous joint density f_{XY} . Then, they are independent if and only if $f_{XY}(x, y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y)$ at all points x and y.

Continuity is not actually required in the above if we are willing to replace "at all" by "at almost all" since absolutely continuous functions are differentiable almost everywhere (those of you who have taken/are taking measure theory might have seen this).

Proof. Suppose X and Y are independent, so that $F_{XY}(x, y) = F_X(x)F_Y(y)$ for all x and y. Then, for all x and y,

$$f_{XY}(x,y) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} F_{XY}(x,y) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \left[F_X(x) F_Y(y) \right] = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} F_X(x) \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} F_Y(y) \right)$$
$$= f_X(x) f_Y(y).$$

Suppose $f_{XY}(x, y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y)$ for all x and y. Then, for all x and y

$$F_{XY}(x,y) = \int_{-\infty}^{y} \int_{-\infty}^{x} f_{XY}(u,v) du dv = \int_{-\infty}^{y} \int_{-\infty}^{x} f_X(u) f_Y(v) du dv$$
$$= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{x} f_X(u) du\right) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{y} f_Y(v) dv\right) = F_X(x) F_Y(y). \quad \Box$$

3 Covariances and correlations

So far, we know that two random variables can either be independent or not. This is not particularly useful when we want to make statistical inferences.

For example, if X is someone's muscle mass and Y is the average amount of protein they eat per day, we certainly do not expect X and Y to be independent. We expect that X to be large if Y is large. That is, we expect X and Y to be "positively correlated".

Before we define correlation, let's define the covariance:

Definition 3.1. Let X and Y be square integrable random variables. The *covariance* of X and Y is

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mathbb{E}X) \left(Y - \mathbb{E}Y \right) \right].$$

Note that the covariance above is well-defined since by Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$|\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y)| \le \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X - \mathbb{E}X\right)^2\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\left(Y - \mathbb{E}Y\right)^2\right]} = \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)\operatorname{Var}(Y)} < \infty.$$
(1)

Note also that Cov(X, X) = Var(X). The correlation is just the normalized covariance:

Definition 3.2. Let X and Y be square integrable random variables each having nonzero variance. The *correlation* of X and Y is

$$\operatorname{Cor}(X,Y) = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(Y)}}.$$

Note that in the above, the correlation is undefined when either X and Y have variance zero. However, this is not a particularly interesting case, since a random variable with variance zero (i.e., a random variable which "does not vary") is actually deterministic:

Proposition 3.3. Let X be a square integrable random variable with Var(X) = 0. Then, $X = \mathbb{E}X$ a.s.

Proof. This follows directly from the fact that $\operatorname{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2] = 0$ implies that $(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2 = 0$ a.s.

Proposition 3.4. If X and Y are square-integrable, then

- 1. $-1 \leq \operatorname{Cor}(X, Y) \leq 1$.
- 2. $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[XY] \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y].$
- 3. $\operatorname{Cor}(X, Y) = 1 \iff Y = aX + b \text{ a.s. for some constants } a > 0 \text{ and } b.$
- 4. $\operatorname{Cor}(X, Y) = -1 \iff Y = aX + b \text{ a.s. for some constants } a < 0 \text{ and } b.$
- 5. The correlation is the covariance of the normalized random variables:

$$\operatorname{Cor}(X,Y) = \operatorname{Cov}\left(\frac{X}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}}, \frac{Y}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(Y)}}\right)$$

6. If X and Y are independent, then Cor(X, Y) = Cov(X, Y) = 0.

Proof.

- 1. By (1).
- 2. This follows from

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}\left[XY - X\mathbb{E}Y - Y\mathbb{E}X + \mathbb{E}X\mathbb{E}Y\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[XY\right] - \mathbb{E}X\mathbb{E}Y.$$
 (2)

3. Suppose Y = aX + b for some a > 0 and b. Then,

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y) = \operatorname{Cov}(X,aX+b) = \mathbb{E}\left[X\left(aX+b\right)\right] - \mathbb{E}X\mathbb{E}\left[aX+b\right]$$
$$= a\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2}\right] + b\mathbb{E}\left[X\right] - \mathbb{E}X\left(a\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]+b\right) = a\left(\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2}\right] - \left(\mathbb{E}X\right)^{2}\right) = a\operatorname{Var}(X).$$

Moreover, $Var(Y) = Var(aX + b) = a^2 Var(X)$. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Cor}(X,Y) = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sqrt{a^2 \operatorname{Var}(X)} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}} = \frac{a \operatorname{Var}(X)}{a \operatorname{Var}(X)} = 1.$$

Now, suppose Cor(X, Y) = 1. This means that (1) holds with equality, which only occurs if

$$Y - \mathbb{E}Y = a \left(X - \mathbb{E}X \right)$$
 a.s.

for some constant a (we proved this in an early lecture). Therefore,

$$Y = aX \underbrace{-a\mathbb{E}X + \mathbb{E}Y}_{b} \qquad \text{a.s.}$$

- 4. Similar to the above.
- 5. This follows by two applications of (2):

$$\operatorname{Cov}\left(\frac{X}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}}, \frac{Y}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(Y)}}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{XY}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(Y)}}\right] - \frac{\mathbb{E}X\mathbb{E}Y}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(Y)}}.$$
$$= \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[XY\right] - \mathbb{E}X\mathbb{E}Y}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(Y)}} = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(Y)}} = \operatorname{Cor}(X,Y).$$

6. By (2).

Definition 3.5. If Cov(X, Y) = 0, we say X and Y are *orthogonal*.

While independence implies orthogonality, the converse is not true in general.