## Math 525: Lecture 19

March 22, 2018

## 1 Strong Markov property

The memoryless/Markov property for a stationary Markov chain can be expressed as follows: "for each n, the process  $X_n, X_{n+1}, \ldots$  is a Marko chain with the same transition probabilities as  $X_0, X_1, \ldots$ "

**Question**: is the same result true if we replace n by a stopping time  $\tau$ ?

Let  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be a Markov chain with transition matrix P and let  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, \ldots, X_n)$ . The Markov property can be rephrased in terms of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = j \mid X_n = i, \Lambda) = P_{ij} \quad \text{for } \Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathbb{P}(\Lambda, X_n = i, X_{n+1} = j) = \mathbb{P}(\Lambda, X_n = i)P_{ij} \quad \text{for } \Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$
(1)

**Proposition 1.1** (Strong Markov property). Let  $\tau$  be a finite stopping time (i.e.,  $\tau < \infty$  a.s.). Given that  $X_{\tau} = i$ , the sequence  $(X_{\tau+n})_{n\geq 0}$  is a Markov chain with transition matrix P.

*Proof.* Let S be a finite stopping time and let  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_S$ . Remember that

$$\mathcal{F}_S = \{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F} \colon \Lambda \cap \{S = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, 2 \dots \}.$$

Then, for states i and j,

$$\mathbb{P}(\Lambda, X_S = i, X_{S+1} = j) = \sum_{k \ge 0} \mathbb{P}(\Lambda \cap \{S = k\}, X_k = i, X_{k+1} = j).$$

Since  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_S$ , it follows that  $\Lambda \cap \{S = k\} \in \mathcal{F}_S$  for each k. By (1),

$$\mathbb{P}(\Lambda, X_S = i, X_{S+1} = j) = P_{ij} \sum_{k \ge 0} \mathbb{P}(\Lambda \cap \{S = k\}, X_k = i) = P_{ij} \mathbb{P}(\Lambda, X_S = i).$$

Divide both sides by  $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda, X_S = i)$  to get

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{S+1} = j \mid \Lambda, X_S = i) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\Lambda, X_S = i, X_{S+1} = j)}{\mathbb{P}(\Lambda, X_S = i).} = P_{ij}.$$
(2)

Now, fix *n*. Take  $S = \tau + n$ . Since *S* is the sum of a stopping time and a constant, *S* is also a stopping time. Let  $\Lambda = \{X_{\tau} = i_0, \ldots, X_{\tau+n} = i_n\}$ . Therefore, by (2),

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{\tau+n+1} = i_{n+1} \mid X_{\tau+n} = i_n, \dots, X_{\tau} = i_0) = P_{i_n i_{n+1}}$$

That is,  $(X_{\tau+n})_{n>0}$  is a Markov chain with transition matrix P.

An immediate corollary of the above is the following:

**Corollary 1.2.** Let  $X_0, X_1, \ldots$  be *i.i.d.* discrete random variables (so that  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is a stationary Markov chain). Let  $\tau$  be a finite stopping time. Then,  $X_{\tau+1}$  has the same distribution as  $X_0$ .

Before we prove this, let's try to understand the intuition.

**Example 1.3.** A gambler plays roulette and chooses a time to place a bet. Let  $X_n$  be the outcome of the *n*-th spin and  $\tau$  be the stopping time at which the bet is placed. The above says that  $X_{\tau+1}$  has the same distribution as  $X_0$ . In other words, assuming that the gambler eventually places a bet (i.e.,  $\tau < \infty$ ), they are no better off than they would have been had they placed the bet at time zero (i.e.,  $\tau = 0$ ).

Proof.  $X_0, X_1, \ldots$  is a Markov chain with transition probabilities  $P_{ij} = \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = x_j | X_n = x_i) \equiv p_j$ . In other words,  $P_{ij}$  does not depend on *i*. By the strong Markov property,  $X_{\tau}, X_{\tau+1}, \ldots$  is once again a Markov chain with the same transition probabilities. That is, if  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{\tau+1} = x_j \mid \Lambda, X_{\tau} = x_i) = P_{ij} = p_j = \mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_j).$$

**Exercise 1.4.**  $X_{\tau}$  does not necessarily have the same distribution as  $X_0$ . Why?

## 2 Recurrence and transience

If we start a Markov chain at state i, will it ever return to i? How many times will it return to i? These are the questions we look to answer next.

**Definition 2.1.** For a discrete random variable Y, we define its expectation conditional on an event  $\Lambda$  with  $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda) > 0$  by

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y \mid \Lambda\right] = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[Y \cdot I_{\Lambda}\right]}{\mathbb{P}(\Lambda)}.$$

Actually, the conditional expectation for a general random variable is much harder to define. We might come back to it later. To simplify notation, let

$$\mathbb{P}^i(\Lambda) = \mathbb{P}(\Lambda \mid X_0 = i)$$

be the probability of  $\Lambda$  conditional on the initial state of the Markov chain being *i*. Similarly, let

$$\mathbb{E}^{i}(Y) = \mathbb{E}\left[Y \mid X_{0} = i\right].$$

**Definition 2.2.** The first hitting time of i is  $T_i = \inf\{n \ge 1 : X_n = i\}$ .

If  $X_0 \neq i$ ,  $T_i$  is the first time the chain reaches *i*. If  $X_0 = i$ ,  $T_i$  is the first time the chain returns to *i*. In the above,  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ , so that  $T_i = \infty$  corresponds to the chain never hitting/returning to *i*.

**Exercise 2.3.**  $T_i$  is a stopping time.

**Exercise 2.4.** Let  $i \neq j$ . Show that  $i \to j$  if and only if  $\mathbb{P}^i \{T_j < \infty\} > 0$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A state *i* is recurrent if  $\mathbb{P}^i \{T_i < \infty\} = 1$ . It is transient otherwise.

We can extend the definition of  $T_i$  as follows: let  $T_i^1 = T_i$  and

$$T_i^{n+1} = \inf \{k > T_i^n \colon X_k = i\}.$$

That is,  $T_i^n$  is the *n*-th time the Markov chain visits *i*.

**Exercise 2.6.**  $T_i^n$  is a stopping time for each  $n \ge 1$ .

**Definition 2.7.** The total number of returns to i is

$$N_i = |\{n : T_i^n < \infty\}| = |\{n \ge 1 : X_n = i\}|.$$

**Proposition 2.8.** Let *i* be a given state and define  $p = P^i \{T_i < \infty\}$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{P}^i \{ N_i \ge n \} = p^n.$$

In particular, if  $X_0 = i$  then

- $N_i = \infty$  a.s. if *i* is recurrent and
- $\mathbb{E}^{i}[N_{i}] = \frac{p}{1-p}$  if *i* is transient.

*Proof.* Let  $p^{(n)} = \mathbb{P}^i \{ N_i \ge n \}$ . Note that

$$N_i \ge n \iff T_i^n < \infty.$$

Therefore,  $p^{(1)} = p$  by definition. Now, suppose  $p^{(k)} = p^k$  for k = 1, 2, ..., n. If  $T_i^n < \infty$ , then  $X_{T_i^n} = i$ . Therefore, by the strong Markov property,  $(X_{T_i^n+n})_{n\geq 0}$  is a Markov chain with the same transition matrix as  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}^i(N_i \ge n+1) = \mathbb{P}^i(N_i \ge n)p.$$

Equivalently,  $p^{(n+1)} = p^{(n)}p = p^n p = p^{n+1}$ . This establishes that

$$p^{(n)} = p^n$$

for all  $n \ge 1$ . Now, note that

$$\mathbb{E}^{i}[N_{i}] = \sum_{k \ge 1} \mathbb{P}^{i}\{N_{i} \ge n\} = \sum_{k \ge 1} p^{k} = \left(\sum_{k \ge 0} p^{k}\right) - 1 = \frac{1}{1-p} - 1 = \frac{p}{1-p}.$$

Remark 2.9.

- 1. If the chain starts at a recurrent state, it returns to that state infinitely often.
- 2. The claim

$$\mathbb{E}^i\left[N_i\right] = \frac{p}{1-p}$$

is actually true even when *i* is recurrent under the interpretation  $1/(1-p) = \infty$ . Therefore,

*i* is transient 
$$\iff \mathbb{E}^i [N_i] < \infty$$
  
*i* is recurrent  $\iff \mathbb{E}^i [N_i] = \infty$ .

We can express the above just using the transition matrix P. Note that the claims below also hold for non-finite state spaces, if we interpret P to be a *denumerable transition matrix*. For our purposes, an understanding of the finite case is sufficient.

**Proposition 2.10.** A state *i* is recurrent if and only if  $\sum_{n\geq 0} (P^n)_{ii} = \infty$ .

*Proof.* This is just a consequence of  $(P^n)_{ii} = \mathbb{P}(X_n = i \mid X_0 = i)$ . Since  $N_i = \sum_{n \ge 1} I_{\{X_n = i\}}$ , it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}^{i}[N_{i}] = \mathbb{E}^{i}\left[\sum_{n\geq 1}I_{\{X_{n}=i\}}\right] = \sum_{n\geq 1}\mathbb{E}^{i}\left[I_{\{X_{n}=i\}}\right] = \sum_{n\geq 1}(P^{n})_{ii}.$$

**Corollary 2.11.** A state *i* is transient if and only if  $\sum_{n\geq 0} (P^n)_{ii} < \infty$ .

**Corollary 2.12.** If  $i \to j$  and i is recurrent, then  $i \leftrightarrow j$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^{j}\{T_i < \infty\} = 1$ , and j is recurrent.

*Proof.* Since  $i \to j$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^i \{T_j < \infty\} > 0$ . Therefore, if  $\mathbb{P}^j \{T_i < \infty\} < 1$ , then we obtain a contradiction to  $\mathbb{E}^i[N_i] = \infty$ .

Therefore, we can find r and s such that  $(P^r)_{ij} > 0$  and  $(P^s)_{ji} > 0$  (since  $i \leftrightarrow j$ ). Then, for any n,

$$(P^{s+n+r})_{jj} = \sum_{k,\ell} (P^s)_{jk} (P^n)_{k\ell} (P^r)_{\ell j} \ge (P^s)_{ji} (P^n)_{ii} (P^r)_{ij}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{n} (P^{n})_{jj} \ge \sum_{n} (P^{s+n+r})_{jj} = (P^{s})_{ji} (P^{r})_{ij} \sum_{n} (P^{n})_{ii}$$

Now, since  $(P^s)_{ji}(P^r)_{ij} > 0$ , then j is recurrent since  $\sum_n (P^n)_{ii} = \infty$ .